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Microstructure evolution and mechanical properties of X12CrMoWVNbN10-1-1 steel during quenching and tempering process
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A B S T R A C T

The effect of heat treatments was investigated on the microstructure evolution and mechanical properties of X12CrMoWVNbN10-1-1 steel. The as-received steel was austenitized at 1080 °C for 16 h and cooled in furnace, following tempered in two steps, i.e. at 570 °C for 18 h and then at 690 °C for 24 h. The austenitized sample had a typical lath martensite structure with some retained austenite and no delta ferrite was observed after austenitization. After the first tempering at 570 °C, there was no retained austenite remained but it resulted in the formation of precipitates, such as Cr-rich M_{23}C_{6}, Cr-rich M_{7}C_{3}, Cr-rich M_{2}N, Nb-rich MN, and the subgrain, as well as the reduction in dislocation density. However, microstructure observation after secondary tempering proved the further arrangement of dislocation, subgrain growth and the coarsening of precipitates. Hardness and impact tests were carried out for establishing a correlation between microstructure and mechanical properties. Finally, a careful fractographic analysis of impact samples had been done using SEM and EDS.

© 2015 Brazilian Metallurgical, Materials and Mining Association. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There are considerable efficiency and environmental advantages to be gained by increasing the steam temperature and pressure, respectively, in fossil power plants. However, severe service condition (high temperature, stress, corrosion, irradiation, etc.) requires the steels to possess excellent comprehensive mechanical properties. Moreover, these steels require a long duration of service, at least more than 30 years [1]. 9–12% Cr ferritic steels have an excellent combination of mechanical properties [2–5]. Their adequate high temperature properties are related to their unique microstructure. The microstructure evolution during heat treatment, such as secondary phase, dislocations and subgrains, directly determines the creep strength of the steels. Commonly, the steels are deformed (forging and rolling) and heat treated (called preheating treatment or after-forging heat treatment) after casting. Then the typical heat treatment (also called quality heat treatment) sequence for high Cr ferritic heat resistant steels includes austenitization to form the austenitic structure and fully or partially dissolve carbonitrides, cooling or
Table 1 – Chemical composition of the steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Nb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Heat treatment parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol of process</th>
<th>Detail heat treatment parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1080 °C/16 h, furnace cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>570 °C/18 h, air cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>690 °C/24 h, air cooling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – Heat treatment process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orders of process</th>
<th>Heat treatment process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A + B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A + B + C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods. The relationship between the microstructural evolution and the mechanical properties was also revealed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Material and heat treatment procedures

The chemical composition of X12CrMoWVNbN10-1-1 steel is given in Table 1. The steel was received in the after-forging heat treatment condition. The initial microstructure of the as-received steel consisted of ferrite with dispersive distributed carbonitrides (Fig. 1). The carbonitrides present in the as-received microstructure were mainly Cr-rich M23C6 and a small amount of Nb-rich MN and Cr-rich M2N [9]. The heat treatments were performed in an electric resistance furnace. The parameters of heat treatment determined by the finite element method (FEM) simulation [11] are presented in Table 2. The two processes are employed as shown in Table 3.

2.2. Microstructural characterization

The microstructural characteristics of the heat treated specimens were examined with an optical microscope (OM), scanning electron microscope (SEM) and transmission electron microscope (TEM). The samples were ground and
polished in the standard manner. The polished samples were etched with a mixture of 10 g iron trichloride, 15 mL hydrochloric acid and 50 mL ethanol for 10 s. The method for displaying the prior austenite grain is in Ref. [9]. The linear intercept method [12] in optical micrographs was employed to estimate the average austenite grain size. For TEM samples, thin foils for matrix microstructure observation were prepared in a twin-jet electropolisher, operated at 50 V, in a solution consisting of 95% acetic acid and 5% perchloric acid. The electrolyte temperature was maintained below –30 °C. Conventional TEM observations and energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS) analyses were performed on a JEM-2100 instrument at operating voltage of 200 kV. Fracture surfaces of the impact specimens were examined by scanning electron microscopy to determine the type and mode of fracture.

2.3. Measurements of retained austenite

The volume fraction of the retained austenite present in microstructure was measured by means of magnetization and X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements. The magnetization measurements were performed in a Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS-9T (EC-II)). The samples were machined to cubes with a size of 2 mm after different heat treatments. The applied magnetic field was changed from 5 to 0 T in steps of 0.25 T at room temperature. Then the volume fraction of retained austenite, \( f_r \), is determined by a comparison of saturation magnetization (\( M_s \)) values in the tested sample, \( M_s(c) \), and in the austenite-free sample \( M_s(f) \), which method was proposed by Zhao et al. [13],

\[
f_r = 1 - \beta \frac{M_s(c)}{M_s(f)}
\]  

(1)

where \( \beta \) is the ratio between the saturation magnetization of the austenite-free sample and that of ferrite, and is calculated to be 0.993 based on the chemical composition of the material. \( M_s(c) \) and \( M_s(f) \) are determined by the fitting curve of the tested magnetic curve. The sample was tempered twice (570 + 690 °C) after austenitization to obtain the austenite-free specimen as reference, which was checked by XRD. XRD experiments were also carried out using Cu-K\( \alpha \) radiation in a D/max 2550X-ray diffraction analyzer at 35 kV and 200 mA with monochromator. All the measurements were carried out in step scan mode with a scanning speed (2\( \theta \)) of 3°/min and angular interval 30–110°. The integrated intensities of (111)\( _a \), (200)\( _a \), (220)\( _a \), (311)\( _a \), and (2 1 1)\( _a \) peaks were used in the direct comparison method to evaluate the volume fraction of retained austenite [14].

2.4. Characterization of extracted residues

The phase analyses of the precipitates were done on the extracted residues obtained by dissolving the martensite matrix in the electrolyte consisting of 5% glycerol, 1% citric acid monohydrate, 5% hydrochloric acid and methanol in volume fraction. The electric current was 0.7 A and the temperature was between –5 °C and 0 °C. The residues were collected through microporous membrane, washed in distilled water and dried. Their structure was identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD), performed on a D/max 2550X-ray diffraction analyzer at 35 kV and 200 mA. Cu K\( \alpha \) radiation was used and a 2\( \theta \) range from 20° to 120° was step-scanned with a scanning speed (2\( \theta \)) of 3°/min. The procedures for analyzing the constituent elements in the extracted residues were Ref. [9].

2.5. Mechanical tests

All mechanical tests were carried out at a room temperature. Determinations of Vickers hardness were made using a 10 kg load on all the heat-treated samples. The load was applied for 15 s. Average of five hardness tests for each testing condition was reported here. Impact tests were conducted on regular size Charpy V-notch specimens (10 mm × 10 mm × 55 mm) on pendulum-type impact testing machine using 300 J hammer. Average of three impact tests for each testing condition was reported here.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Microstructure observation

3.1.1. Microstructure after austenitization

The prior austenite grain microstructure obtained by austenitized at 1080 °C for 16 h is shown in Fig. 2a. The average diameter of the austenite grain size was approximately 178 \( \mu \)m. The representative microstructure of sample A is shown in Fig. 2b. It is worthy to note that no delta ferrite was observed. Wang [15] had reported that the precipitation of delta ferrite, which is detrimental to the mechanical properties of the material, occurs in X12CrMoWVNbN10-1-1 steel.

Fig. 2 – Optical micrographs showing prior austenite grain size (a) and microstructure (b) of the sample A.
when the temperature is above 1100 °C and the amount of delta ferrite increases with the austenitization temperature. Cooling in furnace is sufficient to initiate the martensitic transformation after austenitizing treatment due to the high chromium content. The martensite laths contained dense tangled dislocations (Fig. 3a), which was produced by martensite phase transformation during cooling, together with occasional undissolved particles of Nb-rich MN and Fe-rich M₂C by XRD (Fig. 4) and also demonstrated by TEM as shown in Figs. 5 and 6. This confirmed that the extensive carbonitride dissolution occurred during austenitization at 1080 °C for 16 h, but 1080 °C was still below the complete carbonitrides dissolution temperature. These Nb-rich MN particles were very stable at high temperature. It was reported that in this steel more than half of these precipitates were not dissolved after austenitization at 1080 °C for 16 h [16]. These dispersed particles exerted a strong pinning force on the growth of austenite grains [9]. In addition to these Nb-rich MN particles, the microstructure of the specimen A also exhibited the needle-like Fe-rich M₂C precipitates. Thin continuous films of retained austenite were observed along lath boundaries, as shown to a light part in the dark-field image in Fig. 3c. The volume fraction of retained austenite determined by X-ray diffraction method [17] and magnetization. Fig. 7 is the magnetic curves for the four different samples by combining the fitting curves with original data. The curves of sample 2 and sample 1 overlapped closely with each other, indicating that there was no retained austenite in sample 1. XRD and magnetization results of the volume fraction of retained austenite measured are given in Table 4, suggesting that the results measured by these two methods were in good agreement.

Fig. 3 – TEM micrographs showing typical microstructure of martensite obtained by treatment A; (a) bright-field image; (b) SAED pattern; (c) dark-field image of retained austenite with (111) reflection.

Fig. 4 – XRD pattern of electrolytically extracted precipitates in sample A.
Fig. 5 – TEM analyses of Nb-rich MN particle in sample A: (a) BF micrograph; (b) and (c) are the SAED pattern and EDS spectrum of a Nb-rich MN particle.

Fig. 6 – TEM analyses of Fe-rich M₃C particles in sample A: (a) BF micrograph; (b) SAED pattern; (c) dark-field (DF) micrograph with \( g = (112)_{\text{Fe}_3\text{C}} \) reflection and (d) EDS spectrum of a Fe-rich M₃C particle.
was consistent with the results measured by magnetization method. Long term tempering allowed dislocations to anneal out and arrange to form sub-boundaries, which was really only a form of subgrain formation (Fig. 15a). This indicated that the subgrain structure had been formed. This process had often been referred to as recovery during tempering. The tempered martensite structure of high Cr steels was not thermally stable [19], and their static recovery started after an incubation period.

A further tempering at 690 °C produced progressive precipitation and coarsening of the Cr-rich M$_{23}$C$_6$ carbides concurrent with the gradual elimination of Cr-rich M$_7$C$_3$ based on XRD measurement (Fig. 16) and SEM observation (Fig. 8b), indicating an apparent instability of Cr-rich M$_7$C$_3$ compared to the Cr-rich M$_{23}$C$_6$. With their coarsening, the subgrain boundaries became unpinned by precipitates and grew into equiaxed area of ferrite arranged along the former martensite laths, often with boundaries composed of clearly defined dislocation arrays. Dislocations in the tempered martensite subgrains presented regular configurations of a recovered state, as shown in Fig. 15b. The dislocation density after tempering had decreased in comparison with that in the normalized condition. However, it should be noted that a high density of dislocations within martensite laths still remained after tempering, as previous papers reported [20].
3.2. Microchemistry analyses

It is acknowledged that the precipitation sequence depends primarily on the relative diffusivities of the alloy elements and the ease of nucleation, the chemistry of the steel is also an important consideration determining which precipitates are favored. The microchemistry experiments of the precipitates extracted from the samples after the heat treatment were carried out and the elements contained in the extracted residue were well established as shown in Table 5.

The concentrations of Nb and N contained in precipitates were almost the same as the given chemistry of the as-received steel, revealing that the matrix was depleted of the solutes Nb and N. However, there was numerous Cr contained in the matrix and only a part (1.408%) existed as a form of precipitate. After austenitization, a part of Nb and enormous quantities of Fe, Cr, W, V, Mo, N were in solution because of the dissolution of precipitates, however, there were still more than half of Nb-rich MN (0.028%) particles existed after austenitization at 1080 °C for 16 h. The detection of Fe and Cr in sample A indicated the precipitation of Fe-rich M3C carbides resulted from slow cooling. Comparing the microchemical data for samples A and 1, the significant increase in concentration of constituent elements was detected, indicating that the formation of numerous precipitates occurred in the first tempering. The formation of additional Nb-rich MN nitrides was only detected during the first tempering because the concentration of Nb contained in precipitates was 0.04% in sample 1. They precipitated more homogeneously in the martensite laths, probably due to lattice coherence, facilitating nucleation [21]. The increase of the concentrations of Fe and Cr in precipitates from the first tempering to the

![Image 10 - TEM analyses of Cr-rich M3C3 particles in sample 1: (a) BF micrograph; (b) SAED pattern; (c) DF micrograph with g = (101)Cr2C3 reflection and (d) EDS spectrum of Cr-rich M3C3.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-received</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>1.408</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>1.056</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 11 – TEM analyses of Cr-rich M₂N in sample 1: (a) BF micrograph; (b) SAED pattern; (c) DF micrograph with \( g = (\bar{1}2\bar{1}1)_{\text{Cr}_2\text{N}} \) reflection and (d) EDS spectrum of Cr-rich M₂N.

Fig. 12 – TEM analyses of Cr-rich M₂₃C₆ in sample 1: (a) BF micrograph; (b) SAED pattern; (c) DF micrograph with \( g = (02\bar{2})_{\text{Cr}_2\text{C}_6} \) reflection and (d) EDS spectrum of Cr-rich M₂₃C₆.
second tempering because the stoichiometric ratio of Cr and Fe to C in Cr-rich M\textsubscript{23}C\textsubscript{6} is larger than that in Cr-rich M\textsubscript{7}C\textsubscript{3}. Also, the increase of the concentration of N was detected in sample 2 probably caused by the formation of Cr-rich M\textsubscript{2}N. Therefore, it was reasonable to consider that the main transformation in the second tempering was the transformation of Cr-rich M\textsubscript{23}C\textsubscript{6} from Cr-rich M\textsubscript{7}C\textsubscript{3} and the formation of Cr-rich M\textsubscript{2}N.

3.3. Mechanical properties tests

Because mechanical properties are influenced by microstructural features, it is important to consider how the microstructures are related to the mechanical properties. Hardness HV\textsubscript{10}, a reflection of strength, was shown in Fig. 17. The hardness of the sample A was about 430 HV\textsubscript{10}, compared to 396 HV\textsubscript{10} of sample 1. The high value of hardness was associated with the solid solution of alloy elements in the matrix resulting from the austenitization of 1080 °C. Compared to the sample 1, a significant drop in hardness was seen after twice tempering. The values of hardness in sample 2 reached 283 HV\textsubscript{10}. The above mentioned phenomenon could be explained from the following aspects: (1) the diffusion of solid solution strengthening elements, such as Mo and W etc. from matrix into the precipitates took place during tempering due to their formation and coarsening; and (2) the occurring of recovery during tempering involved the annihilation and rearrangement of dislocations, and the growth of subgrain structure [22–26].

Although the decrease of the hardness is always expected, the impact toughness cannot be ignored for this steel; furthermore, it is the prominent in the design. The results of Charpy
Fig. 15 – TEM micrographs of samples 1 (a) and 2 (b) showing the subgrain microstructure.

impact tests are shown in Fig. 17. In general, the decrease in hardness would be accompanied by an increase in the toughness. After twice tempering (570 + 690 °C), the impact energy of sample 2 reached approximately 33.6 J, higher than that of sample 1 (8.4 J). This phenomenon could be explained by the residual stress caused by martensite transformation from retained austenite and the stress field formed around Cr-rich M7C3 particles. Mandziej et al. [27] found that the Cr-rich M7C3 carbides formed produced a high stress exerted by them on matrix, which could lead to degradation of the samples. Additionally, through the twice tempering, the variations of the microstructures, including the decomposition of the martensite into polygonal shaped subgrains, contributed effectively to the increase in the impact toughness. There were more interface boundaries in sample 2 due to the formation of subgrains, compared to the sample 1, and these interfaces acted as obstacles for crack propagation, contributing to enhancement of fracture energy. Besides, the increase of the impact energy was partly attributed to the lower Cr content in the matrix due to the precipitation and growth of Cr-rich carbides. It has been suggested that better fracture toughness correlated with lower Cr content in the alloy [28]. Moreover, the stress exerted by Cr-rich M7C3 on the matrix was also released due to the transformation from Cr-rich M7C3 into Cr-rich M23C6 during the twice tempering. Finally, the stress caused by the transformation from retained austenite into martensite was also relieved by the secondary tempering.

3.4. Fracture surfaces observation

Typical fracture surfaces after Charpy impact test of the heat treated samples were characterized using SEM to evaluate the mode of fracture failure and reveal the nature and morphology of failure. Fig. 18a–c shows the secondary electron images of the typical fracture topography of Charpy specimens. The fracture of sample 1 was flat, without signs of intensive plastic deformation. It was seen from Fig. 18b that the inclusions were isolated and blocky in shape and their sizes vary in range from 10 to 70 µm. Inclusions were found randomly distributed, however, inclusion nests were also observed at some regions. Both
Fig. 18 – SEM micrographs of fracture surfaces of impact samples 1(a), 2(b), (c) is an enlarged view of a box in (b) and (d) EDS spectra of non-metallic inclusion circled in (b).

Sulfide and oxide-silicate inclusions were recognized based on EDS spectrum (Fig. 18d). EDS result showed that the inclusions in steel were mostly oxides or sulfides containing mainly Al and Ca with minor Mg, Si and Fe. Generally, every inclusion has its own characteristic shape and appearance. The presence of variety of inclusions and their high density indicated the inadequate cleanliness of the alloy. These inclusions adversely affected the fracture toughness and fatigue strength of the steel. They acted as stress raisers and are potential sites for crack inclusion/matrix interface results in the formation and opening of void. Also, cracks in brittle inclusion or in matrix adjacent to the inclusion were also created. Therefore, the fracture of sample 2 was mainly related to the size and dispersive distribution of the inclusion in the steels. However, it was worthy to note that it did not mean that there were no inclusions in sample 1. The matrix was so brittle that the fracture surface followed preferentially the matrix with concentrated stress fields. That’s why the inclusions were not observed in the first tempered samples. By comparison, the impact ductility was enhanced by the twice tempering (570 + 690 °C). The cohesion among the matrix was larger than that between the inclusions and the matrix so that cracks were produced between them. That’s the reason why there were so many inclusions observed in sample 2. Fig. 18c corresponds to the high magnification SEM fractographs of sample 2. Brittle fracture with quasi-cleavage area was observed, which was a typical fracture in tempered martensitic steels. The main fracture mode was intergranular fracture for the impact samples. The figure documented that cleavage facets size matched prior austenite grain size, or other smaller microstructure subunits, e.g. martensite packet size. Sample 2 also exhibited the brittle fracture with some fibrous fracture consisting of shallow dimples. The shallow dimples indicated that relatively less energy was absorbed by the sample during plastic deformation prior to fracture. Therefore, such fracture morphology was also reflected that the impact energy of sample 2 were higher than that of sample 1.

4. Conclusions

The microstructure evolution and mechanical properties of X12CrMoWVbN10-1-1 steel during heat treatment were studied. Main conclusions drawn were as follows:

1. Furnace quenching from austenitization at 1080 °C/16 h, the matrix was nearly martensite with small amounts of retained austenite, as well as Fe-rich M7C3 and Nb-rich MN particles, and no delta ferrite was detected. Based on the microchemical data, a portion of Nb and enormous quantities of W, V, Mo and N were in solution due to the dissolution of precipitates during austenitization. From the results of XRD and magnetization measurements, the volume fraction of retained austenite after furnace cooling was found to be about 9.4%.

2. Tempering at 570 °C for 18 h initiated the formation of sub-grains and allowed disperse precipitates to form. Moreover, no retained austenite remained after first tempering. However, further arrangement of dislocation, subgrain growth into equiaxed area of ferrite and the precipitates coarsening were mainly observed for samples tempered twice at 690 °C for 24 h. In the first tempered state (570 °C), Cr-rich M23C6, Cr-rich M23C4, Cr-rich M2N and Nb-rich MN particles were identified. Following another tempering (690 °C), the
precipitates consisted of Cr-rich M₂₃N, Cr-rich M₂₃C₆ and Nb-rich MN. The precipitation of secondary phases nearly achieved in the first tempering (570 °C). Tempering for 18 h at 570 °C was sufficiently long to achieve the transformation from a part of Cr-rich M₇C₃ into Cr-rich M₂₃C₆. The rest of the transformation from Cr-rich M₂₃C₃ (metastable phase) to Cr-rich M₂₃C₆ (stable phase) mainly occurred in the second tempering. Additionally, the formation of Cr-rich M₂₃N precipitates probably took place at this stage based on the increase of concentration of N.

3. Hardness and impact energy were found significant decreasing/increasing with the treatment going on as expected which was ascribed to a microstructure change with the occurrence of recovery of the martensitic matrix and the formation and growth of precipitates during tempering. And the maximum values of them after the final heat treatment were approximately 283 HV₁₀ and 33.6 J, respectively.

4. SEM fractographs of the impact test samples showed primary brittle fracture with quasi-cleavage area. The brittleness of the samples after the first tempering (570 °C) was ascribed to the residual stress caused by martensite transformation from retained austenite and the stress field formed around Cr₇C₃ particles. Besides, the higher Cr content in the matrix was partly attributed to the low impact energy due to the incomplete precipitation of Cr-rich carbides. However, the fracture of the samples after twice tempering (570 + 690 °C) was mainly attributable to the inclusions of sulfide and oxide randomly distributed through the steel, which were detrimental to impact toughness of steel.
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