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\section{Introduction}

Aluminium matrix composites (AMCs) are noted for their unique combination of mechanical, physical and chemical properties which are scarcely attainable with the use of monolithic materials [1,2]. This has made AMCs a strong competitor to steel in terms of versatility for use in a wide range of engineering applications [3]. AMCs are currently applied in the design of components for automobiles, aircrafts, marine structures and facilities, defence assemblies, sports and recreation among many others [4–6]. Other notable advantages of AMCs are the relatively low cost of processing of [in comparison to other matrices types such as Magnesium (Mg), Copper (Cu), Titanium (Ti), Zinc (Zn)]; and its amenability to production utilizing processing techniques applied for the
production of conventional monolithic metallic alloys (such as casting and powder metallurgy) [7,8]. Currently, the design of high performance aluminium based composites at significantly reduced cost is receiving much attention from materials researchers [9,10]. This is discernible from the dominant use of stir casting by most researchers from developing countries; and the sustained interest in the consideration of industrial and agro wastes as reinforcements in AMCs [11,12]. Agro waste ashes obtained by the controlled burning of agro waste products such as bagasse, bamboo leaf, coconut shell, groundnut shell, and rice husk among others; have the advantages of low densities and processing cost compared with common synthetic reinforcing ceramics such as silicon carbide and alumina [13,14]. The agro waste ashes have been successfully utilized to produce Al matrix composites with property levels which can be improved with the complement of synthetic reinforcements such as silicon carbide and alumina [15].

The design concept is built on harnessing the high strength and wear capabilities of notable synthetic reinforcements such as silicon carbide and alumina with the light weight and low cost of processing of agro waste ashes. There are very few literatures which have considered the design of AMCs with the use of hybrid reinforcements of synthetic technical ceramics and agro waste ashes. The mechanical properties of some of these low cost Al matrix composites have been reported to be encouraging depending on the weight ratio between the synthetic reinforcement and the agro waste ashes selected [15,16]. The corrosion and wear properties of these Al matrix hybrid composites are yet to receive any notable attention considering available literatures. Corrosion and wear are very important scientific phenomena requiring consideration in composite materials design to ensure material reliability in applications where they come in contact with the environment and other surfaces [17–19]. The corrosion behaviour of AMCs is widely acclaimed for its inconclusiveness judging from the often contradicting findings reported by authors for the same MMC system [20,21]. Thus it is very unlikely for the corrosion behaviour of newly developed AMCs to be predicted without subjecting them to experimental studies. The wear properties of AMCs have been reported to be dependent on factors such as the nature, size, and volume percent of the reinforcing particles [22,23]. Investigations on the wear behaviour of Al matrix hybrid composites produced with the use of agro waste ashes as complementing reinforcement are quite limited in literature. This work is an effort to understand the corrosion and wear behaviour of Al matrix composites developed using rice husk ash (RHA) and alumina as reinforcement.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The base material for the investigation is wrought Al–Mg–Si alloy as received in form of slabs. The chemical composition of the aluminium alloy was determined using a spark spectrometric analyzer and the result is presented in Table 1. A hundred percent chemically pure alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) particles having particle size of 28 μm and rice husk obtained from Igbemo-Ekiti, Ekiti State (a rice producing community in south western Nigeria); were utilized as reinforcing particulates. Magnesium for improvement of wettability between the Al–Mg–Si alloy and the reinforcements was also procured.

2.2. Method

2.2.1. Preparation of RHA

A simple metallic drum with perforations to allow for air circulation to aid combustion was used as burner for the preparation of the RHA. Dry rice husks were placed inside the drum while charcoal, which served as the fire source, was used to ignite the rice husk. The husk was left to burn completely and the ashes removed 24 h later. The ash was then conditioned by heat-treating the ash at a temperature of 650 °C for 180 min to reduce the carbonaceous and volatile constituents of the ash. The chemical composition of the RHA determined using X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy is presented in Table 2.

2.2.2. Composites production

Two step stir casting process performed in accordance with Alaneme [24] was utilized to produce the composites. The process started with the determination of the quantities of RHA and alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) required to produce 10 wt.% reinforcement consisting of 0:10, 2:8, 3:7, and 4:6 RHA and alumina weight percents, respectively. The RHA and alumina particles were initially preheated at a temperature of 250 °C to remove moisture and to help improve wettability with the Al–Mg–Si alloy melt. The Al–Mg–Si alloy ingots were charged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Elemental composition of Al–Mg–Si alloy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.4002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.2201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.3961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>98.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Chemical composition of the rice husk ash.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compound/element (constituent)</td>
<td>wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica (SiO$_2$)</td>
<td>91.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium oxide (CaO)</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium oxide (MgO)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium oxide (K$_2$O)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite (Fe$_2$O$_3$)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
into a gas-fired crucible furnace and heated to a temperature of 750 ± 30 °C (above the liquidus temperature of the alloy) and the liquid alloy was then allowed to cool in the furnace to a semi solid state at a temperature of about 600 °C. An external temperature probe was also used to regulate the temperature of the furnace. The preheated RHA and alumina particulates along with 0.1 wt.% magnesium were added at this temperature and stirring of the slurry was performed manually for 5–10 min. The composite slurry was then superheated to 800 ± 30 °C and a second stirring performed using a mechanical stirrer. The stirring operation was performed at a speed of 400 rpm for 10 min to help improve the distribution of the particulates in the molten Al–Mg–Si alloy. The molten composite was then cast into prepared sand moulds inserted with chills.

2.2.3. Corrosion test

Corrosion testing was conducted using potentiodynamic polarization electrochemical methods. The experiments were carried out using a Princeton applied research Potentiostat (VersaSTAT 400) with versaSTUDIO electrochemical software. All the experiments were performed using a three-electrode corrosion cell set-up comprising the sample as the working electrode, saturated silver/silver chloride as reference electrode, and platinum as counter electrode. Working electrodes were prepared by attaching an insulated copper wire to one face of the sample using an aluminium conducting tape, and cold mounting it in resin. The surfaces of the samples were wet ground with silicon carbide papers from 220 down to 600 grade in accordance with Alaneme and Bodunrin [25] and ASTM [26] standard, washed with distilled water, degreased with acetone and dried in air. Corrosion behaviour of the samples was investigated in 3.5% NaCl solution at room temperature (25 °C). The open circuit corrosion potential (OCP) measurements were carried out in a separate cell for 30 h. Potentiodynamic polarization measurements were carried out using a scan rate of 1.6 mV/s at a potential initiated at −200 mV to +1500 mV. After each experiment, the electrolyte was replaced, while the test samples were polished, rinsed in water and washed with acetone to remove the products that might have formed on the surface which could affect the measurement. Three repeated tests were carried out for all compositions of the composites, and the reproducibility and repeatability were observed to be good as there were no significant differences between results from triplicates.

2.2.4. Hardness and wear test

The hardness of the composites was evaluated using an EmcoTEST DURASCAN Microhardness Tester equipped with ecos workflow ultra modern software. Prior to testing, test specimens cut out from each composite composition were polished to obtain a flat and smooth surface finish. A load of 100 g was applied on the specimens and the hardness profile was evaluated following standard procedures. Multiple hardness tests were performed on each sample and the average value taken as a measure of the hardness of the specimen.

The wear behaviour of the single reinforced and different hybrid reinforced aluminium composites were tested using a CETR UMT-2 Tribometer. A load of 25 N was used for 1000 s, at a speed of 5 Hz.

2.2.5. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

The surface morphology of the hybrid composite samples immersed in 3.5% NaCl solution were compared with that of the single reinforced Al–Mg–Si/10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composites by recording the SEM images of the surfaces after the immersion test using a JSM 7600F Jeol ultra-high resolution field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG-SEM).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Corrosion behaviour

Fig. 1 compares the effect of rice husk addition on the OCP stabilization curves for the single reinforced and hybrid composites produced in the 3.5% NaCl solution. The composites generally displayed a fluctuating OCP values. This was very obvious with Samples B (Al–Mg–Si/2 wt.% RHA–8 wt.% Al₂O₃ hybrid composite) and D (Al–Mg–Si/4 wt.% RHA–6 wt.% Al₂O₃ hybrid composite) and less for Sample A (single reinforced Al–Mg–Si/10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite). This fluctuating behaviour can possibly be attributed to simultaneous corrosion products formation and breakdown. Sample A displayed relative stability of OCP followed by Sample C (Al–Mg–Si/3 wt.% RHA–7 wt.% Al₂O₃ hybrid composite). Within the period of measurement, it is observed that Sample D displayed generally the highest OCP value indicating its possibility of thermodynamic stability as compared with the other composites. Sample C had the lowest OCP value indicating that it has the highest thermodynamic tendency to corrode in the medium studied (3.5% NaCl solution).

The results for the corrosion potentials and corrosion current densities that were obtained from the potentiodynamic polarization tests for the composites (Fig. 2) are summarized in Table 3. The corrosion potentials follow similar trend with the potential values obtained in the OCP measurements (Fig. 1). The observed lower corrosion potential value on Sample AII than A1 when compared with the values obtained from the OCP measurement can be attributed to its highly fluctuating OCP values. It can be observed from the OCP results that its OCP values were less than those of Sample A1 between the 1300th and 1700th minutes of measurements. This can be an
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Fig. 2 – Potentiodynamic polarization curves for the single reinforced Al–Mg–Si/10 wt.% Al₂O₃ and hybrid reinforced Al–Mg–Si/RHA–Al₂O₃ composites in 3.5% NaCl solution at 25 °C.

indication that its corrosion potential will become less, indicating possible lower thermodynamic stability with time.

From Fig. 2, it is observed that the composites displayed similar polarization curves of direct passivation after the initial active corrosion where increase in corrosion current was proportional to applied potential. The corrosion current density obtained from the polarization curves, for the composites revealed relatively wide differences in the corrosion resistance. The results (Table 3) showed that the corrosion resistance of the composites decreased with increasing wt.% RHA. The single reinforced Al–Mg–Si/10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite (Sample A) displayed the highest corrosion resistance as revealed by its least corrosion current density value of 2.379 µA/cm².

Generally, corrosion of MMCs almost always initiates at a physical or chemical heterogeneity such as reinforcement/matrix interface, defect, intermetallic, mechanically damaged region, grain boundary, inclusion, or dislocation [26,27]. In alumina reinforced Al matrix composites, the corrosion mechanism has been reported to be due to the preferential initiation of corrosion in the alumina/Al matrix interface. This often occurs in form of pits or micro-crevices in the matrix near the particle–matrix interface and from regions where there is particle dropout [28]. In the case of the Al/RHA–Al₂O₃ hybrid composites, the increasing corrosion tendency with increase in wt.% RHA is likely due to the higher volume of particles arising from the low density of RHA (0.3 g/cm³) in comparison with alumina (3.9 g/cm³). Thus there exists a high density of particles in the hybrid composite leading to a greater number of matrix/reinforcement interfaces. The SEM images (Fig. 3a, b and d) show the surface morphology of the samples after the electrochemical test. From Fig. 3b and

Table 3 – Corrosion potentials and corrosion current densities of the produced composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample designation</th>
<th>Weight ratio of RHA and Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Ecorr (mV)</th>
<th>Icorr (µA/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0:10</td>
<td>816.752</td>
<td>2.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2:8</td>
<td>798.422</td>
<td>4.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3:7</td>
<td>763.623</td>
<td>6.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>4:6</td>
<td>836.234</td>
<td>7.614</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 – (a) SEM photomicrograph of the corroded surface of the single reinforced Al–Mg–Si/10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite after the electrochemical test in 3.5% NaCl solution; (b) SEM photomicrograph of the corroded surface of the hybrid reinforced Al–Mg–Si/2 wt.% RHA–8 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite after the electrochemical test in 3.5% NaCl solution; (c) SEM photomicrograph of the corroded surface of the hybrid reinforced Al–Mg–Si/3 wt.% RHA–7 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite after the electrochemical test in 3.5% NaCl solution; (d) SEM photomicrograph of the corroded surface of the hybrid reinforced Al–Mg–Si/4 wt.% RHA–6 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite after the electrochemical test in 3.5% NaCl solution.
c it can be confirmed that preferential dissolution of the more anodic Al matrix occurs in place of the cathodic RHA/Al₂O₃ particles.

3.2. Hardness behaviour

The hardness results of the composites are presented in Table 4. It is observed that the hardness decreases slightly with increase in the weight percent of RHA in the hybrid composites. The observed trend is due to the constitution of RHA which consists of over 90% of silica (SiO₂). Silica is noted to have a lower hardness level in comparison with alumina [29].

The porosity levels in the single reinforced and hybrid composites also presented in Table 4 were observed to be lower (less than 2.3%) than 4% which is the maximum porosity level acceptable in cast AMCs [5,15]. Thus its influence on the hardness properties is expected to be very marginal.

3.3. Wear behaviour

The variation of coefficient of friction with time is presented in Fig. 4. For all the specimens, the general observation is that there is an increase in the coefficient of friction for the first 120 s of commencing the wear test. This could be due to the hard contact surface of the counter ball (WC ball) which results in localized adhering to the surface of the Al composites. A critical assessment of Fig. 4 shows that on the average the Al–Mg–Si/4 wt.% RHA–6 wt.% Al₂O₃ has the highest coefficient of friction in comparison to the single reinforced Al–Mg–Si matrix–10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite and the hybrid composites containing 2 and 3 wt.% RHA. This implies that the Al–Mg–Si/4 wt.% RHA–6 wt.% Al₂O₃ hybrid composite will have a higher wear rate in comparison with the other composite compositions. Observe also that the coefficient of friction of the Al–Mg–Si/4 wt.% RHA–6 wt.% Al₂O₃ and the Al–Mg–Si/3 wt.% RHA–7 wt.% Al₂O₃ composites increases with time. This is due to an increase in the amount of debris from the composites which adheres to the surface of the Al composite resulting in increase in the friction coefficient with contact time. The single reinforced Al–Mg–Si matrix–10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite had the least coefficient of friction an indication of its relatively lower wear rate in comparison with the hybrid composites. It is also noted that the coefficient of friction for the single reinforced Al–Mg–Si matrix–10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite remained constant for the period of testing. The low coefficient of friction implies that the mechanism of wear is predominantly abrasive in nature due to the harder surface (tungsten carbide ball) scratching over the softer (Al–Mg–Si matrix–10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite) surface. This argument is supported by the hardness values which shows that the single reinforced Al–Mg–Si matrix–10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite is the hardest of the composites produced, and compared to the hybrid composites will undergo less plastic deformation and hence lower friction coefficient is to be expected [30]. Fig. 5a shows the worn tracks observed in the surface of the Al–Mg–Si matrix–10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite. Detailed examination of the wear track of the single reinforced Al–Mg–Si matrix–10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite reveal features associated with mainly abrasive mechanism. It is evident that the extent of adhesive wear is low compared to abrasive wear as there are few signs of worn debris attached to the surface of the sample. In the case of the 2 wt.% RHA containing hybrid composite, it is observed that the coefficient of friction increases steadily for 600 s after which there is a gradual drop in the coefficient of friction. From the variation of the coefficient of friction, it may be concluded that the initial wear mechanism for Al–Mg–Si/2 wt.% RHA–8 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite is adhesive, which converts to abrasive at a later stage. The adhesive and abrasive wear mechanisms are supported by the scanning electron micrograph of the worn Al–Mg–Si/2 wt.% RHA–8 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite (Fig. 5b). Evidence of abrasive wear is confirmed from the ploughed grooves inside the wear tracks. The signs of adhesive wear can be concluded from the patches of removed material which are glued to the worn surfaces. The patches of removed material on the surface of the composites have been reported to imply local welding at the interface and subsequent rupture of the welded joint with the formation of grooves [31,32]. For the case of the Al–Mg–Si/4 wt.% RHA–6 wt.% Al₂O₃ hybrid composite, the predominant wear mechanism is adhesive which is responsible for the increase in coefficient of friction with time. Fig. 5c shows the surface of the Al–Mg–Si/4 wt.% RHA–6 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite – observe the large accumulation of debris on the surface of the sample arising from partial welding of the debris to the surface of the composite.
4. Conclusions

The corrosion and wear behaviour of Al–Mg–Si matrix composites containing 0.10, 2.8, 3.7, and 4.6 wt.% RHA and alumina as reinforcement was investigated. The results show that:

- The corrosion resistance of the single reinforced Al–Mg–Si/10 wt.% Al₂O₃ composite was superior to that of the hybrid composites in 3.5% NaCl solution; and the corrosion rates increased with increase in wt.% RHA.
- The increase in the population of matrix/reinforcement interface with increase in wt.% RHA in the hybrid composites is primarily responsible for the increase in corrosion rates observed with increase in wt.% RHA.
- The coefficient of friction and consequently, the wear rate of the composites were observed to increase with increase in RHA wt.%. 
- The wear mechanism of the composites was observed to transform from predominant abrasive wear to adhesive wear with increase in RHA wt.%.
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